

Exhibit Number:

2

**This exhibit is a booklet
Which can not be scanned,
the front cover and table
of content has been
scanned to aid in your
research the original is on
file at the Montana
Historical Society and may
be viewed there.**

Legislative Audit Division

State of Montana



Report to the Legislature

December 2004

Performance Audit

Transfer of Credits

Montana University System (MUS)

Montana Board of Regents of Higher Education

Transferring MUS credits is adversely affected by a decentralized management approach. This has limited the system in achieving the transferability goals initially outlined during MUS restructuring and resulted in unpredictability for students.

Direct comments/inquiries to:
Legislative Audit Division
Room 160, State Capitol
PO Box 201705
Helena MT 59620-1705

04P-06

Help eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse in state government. Call the Fraud Hotline at 1-800-222-4446 statewide or 444-4446 in Helena.

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables	iii
Appointed and Administrative Officials	iv
Report Summary	S-1
Chapter I - Background	1
Introduction	1
Audit Scope and Methodology	1
Audit Approach Focused on Four Programs	3
Nursing Degree Programs	3
Engineering Degree Programs	4
Business Degree Programs	4
Education Degree Programs	4
Audit Approach Was System-Wide	4
Board of Regents	4
Management Memorandum: Website and Catalog Information Was Not Consistent	5
Report Organization	6
Chapter II - Montana University System (MUS) Transfer Activity	7
Introduction	7
Montana Student Transfer Activity	7
Transfer Procedures Are Generally Based on Various Criteria	9
Impacts From MUS Re-Organization	10
Current Process Is Not Predictable for Students	10
Chapter III - A System of Controls is Needed	13
Transfer Procedures Vary Extensively	13
Some General Procedures Followed At Each Institution	14
Current Practices Are Problematic for Students	15
Timeliness of Evaluation	15
Lack of Documentation Impacts Decisions and Increases Student Costs	15
When Available, Documentation Is In Several Locations and Difficult to Interpret	16
The Regents Should Define Standard Procedures to Promote Predictability	17
Guidelines Needed for Timeliness in Evaluations	18
Use of Information Systems Could Be Strengthened	18
Centralize Documentation of Evaluation Decisions	19
A Lack of Management Information Limits the Regents' Decision Making Abilities	19
A More Centralized Approach Is Needed	20
Chapter IV - MUS Policies Should Be Clarified	23
Introduction	23
General Education Core Interpreted and Applied Differently	23
Transferring Core Requirements	25
Core Requirements Should Be Clarified and Enforced	26

Table of Contents

Wide Variations Exist In Transferring Two-Year Course Work	27
Associate of Applied Science Degrees Subject to Wide Interpretation.....	27
Bachelor of Applied Science Degrees Also Create Transfer Inequities.....	28
Criteria For Transfer Policy	29
Criteria for Outdated Coursework.....	29
Cumulative Grade Point Average (GPA).....	30
Inconsistent Grade Standards.....	30
Academic Policies for Credit Transfer Do Not Provide Guidance Needed.....	31
MUS Nursing Programs Have Unique Transfer Challenges.....	31
Chapter V – Regent Leadership Needed For Nursing Transfers.....	33
Nursing Programs Present Unique Challenges.....	33
Transfer of Credits Continue to be Problematic in Nursing.....	34
Despite Standard Curriculums, LPN Transfers Are Problematic	35
Number of Credits Required in LPN Programs Also Problematic	37
Transfers From LPN Programs to RN Programs Unpredictable.....	37
Other States More Proactive in Addressing Consistency in LPN Transfer of Credits	38
Regent Leadership for Nursing Programs is Needed	38
Montana Board of Regents of Higher Education Response	A-1
Board of Regents of Higher Education Response	A-3